United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/760,293	01/21/2004	Hidetoshi Nojiri	03500.016632.1	8840
	7590 11/28/200 CELLA HARPER &	EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			ROGERS, SCOTT A	
NEW YORK,	NEW YORK, NY 10112 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBI		PAPER NUMBER	
			2625	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/28/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

÷		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/760,293	NOJIRI ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Scott A. Rogers	2625			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
		action is non-final.				
·	,	nce this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disnositi	on of Claims	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
	4) Claim(s) 38-75 is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>38-40, 43, 49-50, and 72-73</u> is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) 41,42,44,46-48,53,54,56,58,59,65,74					
	Claim(s) <u>45,51,52,55,57,60-64 and 66-71</u> is/are	-				
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Applicati	on Papers					
9) 🔲 🤈	The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the o					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti					
11) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
	•	armior. Note the attached offic	5 Action of form 1 10-152.			
_	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/216,745.						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment	r(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)						
Paper S. Patent and To	No(s)/Mail Date <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	6) Other:				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :2/20/04, 4/20/05, 9/1/05, & 10/21/05.

10/760,293 Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 41-42 and 44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Evans (US 4519245 A).

Referring to claims 41-42 and 44:

Evans discloses applying an impact to a static sheet from the outside thereof, outputting information (signal) based on detection of the impact, and determining the type of sheet based on the information (signal). See abstract and summary.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422

Art Unit: 2625

F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 41-42, 44, 46-48, 54, 56, 58, 59, and 65 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over all claims of copending Application No. 11/000,096, 11/299,088, 11/442,308, and 11/442,351. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are broader than the copending application claims and are therefore anticipated by those claims.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 41-42, 44, 46-48, 53, 54, 56, 58, 59, 65 and 74-75 are rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 7239817 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the application claims are broader than the patent claims and are therefore anticipated by those claims.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 38-40, 43, 49-50, and 72-73 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2625

Claims 45, 51-52, 55, 57, 60-64, and 66-71 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Scott A Rogers whose telephone number is 571-272-7467. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ed Coles can be reached at 571-272-7402.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to TC2600 Customer Service at 571-272-2600. Official correspondence by facsimile should be sent to 571-273-8300. The USPTO Customer Service Center phone number is 800-PTO(786)-9199 or 571-272-1000.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SCOTT ROGERS

26 November 2007